

ENCLOSURE I

THE NIOSH APPROACH TO CONDUCTING INDOOR AIR QUALITY INVESTIGATIONS IN OFFICE BUILDINGS

National Institute for Occupation Safety and Health

ABSTRACT

Since 1971, personnel from the National Institute for Occupation Safety and Health (NIOSH) have complete over 500 indoor air quality (IAQ) investigations in a variety of office building environments. Most of these investigations have been conducted since 1979, paralleling the energy conservation concerns of building owners and operators. These investigations have been conducted under the authority of the NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation Program and have been in direct response to reported health complaints or illness. Therefore, these IAQ investigations are intended to establish the identity of a problem and to recommend solutions for its correction. Over time, we have developed a consistent methodology with a "solution-oriented" approach to conducting these IAQ investigations. To initiate the investigation, the NIOSH team gathers background information by telephone and then makes a site visit to interview the affected employees and establish symptom identity and prevalence. During this initial site visit, the investigators also attempt to identify sources of contaminants, evaluate comfort parameters, and assess ventilation system performance. A variety of applicable evaluation criteria may be used, including "rules-of thumb" gleaned from the current scientific literature and our own experiences. If specific problems cannot be identified through these initial means, follow-up visits are then used to pursue a continually narrowing range of possibilities. This "solution-oriented" approach has resulted in the best allocation of our resources and has allowed the most efficient use of in-field as well as analytical personnel. In the IAQ investigations completed to date, problems were found to result from building material contaminants in 4%, microbiological contaminants in 5%, contaminants brought in from outside the building in 10%, contaminants from inside the building in 15% and inadequate ventilation problems in 53%. The remaining 13% represent those investigations where no problem could be identified.

INTRODUCTION

The sometimes questionable quality of indoor air and the potential for health risks have become major concerns of building occupants, especially office workers. Some potential indoor exposures, such as to the carcinogen, asbestos, have well-documented health implications. But, more commonly, the health risks of other indoor air exposures are poorly understood. Nevertheless, office workers experiencing indoor air quality (IAQ) problems often demand a complete evaluation of their work environment and of the effect it may have upon their health.

2024689116

At the National Institute for Occupation Safety and Health (NIOSH), the majority of our indoor air quality investigations are conducted as part of the Health Hazard Evaluation Program. We conduct these health hazard evaluations at the request of employee groups, unions, management, and local, State and Federal agencies. Generally, these requests are in response to existing worker health complaints and illness. Because we are essentially "invited" to conduct these investigations, the data presented here will not reflect a statistically valid cross-section of the indoor air quality problem. However, these findings are drawn from one of the largest single information bases currently available on the subject. In essence, this paper will summarize the NIOSH methodology currently used during IAQ investigations and some of the data from these IAQ investigations completed since the start of the Health Hazard Evaluation Program.

METHODOLOGY

We have found that investigating IAQ problems can present a formidable challenge which, in some ways, is more difficult than evaluating industrial environments. In an industrial situation, the evaluation will be directed by investigations of the materials used by, or in the vicinity of, the affected workers. These materials can usually be chemically analyzed which permits techniques and potential health effects using standard medical and epidemiologic techniques. Frequently there are exposure criteria which can be applied to help interpret the data obtained. This is rarely the case with an indoor air quality problem.

Indoor air quality investigations tend to become more complicated as time passes without identification of a cause. Frustrations result in highly charged emotions which only further impede continued evaluation efforts. These situations are further complicated by the fact that symptoms are not easily attributed to a single cause and the application of standard industrial hygiene, medical and epidemiologic techniques may prove to be inconclusive.

Over time, our approach in evaluating this situation has changed. We have developed a more consistent, solution-oriented approach that systematically excludes a continually narrowing range of possibilities. Generally, this exclusion hierarchy, which has come about based on our past experiences, involves evaluation of physical, chemical and microbiological factors, in the order presented. Each of these potential causation categories are discussed in more detail later in this presentation.

Since we anticipate that IAQ requests will continue to represent a substantial percentage of the total health hazard evaluation requests (currently about 20%), three response levels have been developed. Based on the information obtained during initial telephone with the requestor, the following responses are possible:

2024689117

1. Provide "self-help evaluation" materials (attached). Remain available for consultation by telephone. Become more involved, if necessary.
2. NIOSH conducts an initial evaluation and provides recommendations to solve the problem or for further study on a "self-help" basis.
3. NIOSH conducts a full scale investigation.

The NIOSH investigation team commonly includes an industrial hygienist and physician/epidemiologist, but can also include other professionals such as an engineer. Most investigations contain the following parts: background assessment, initial site assessment, and, if necessary, additional site assessment.

BACKGROUND ASSESSMENT

For the background assessment, we initially obtain, by telephone, as much information on the building as practicable, an idea of symptoms being experienced, and a chronology of the problem. Much of this information can be collected using a standard questionnaire. We also request copies of other previous investigations which are relevant to the problem at hand. These data are then used to tailor the protocol for the initial site assessment so as to make it more efficient.

INITIAL SITE ASSESSMENT

For the initial site assessment, a common protocol includes five separate steps or parts: an opening conference, a walk-through evaluation, personal interviews, phase I of environmental monitoring, and a closing conference.

Opening Conference--The opening conference is attended by representatives of the employer and employees (where applicable) as well as someone who has knowledge of the operation, and maintenance of the building's heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. This meeting serves to present NIOSH's role, discuss anticipated activities and arrange to receive copies of pertinent data not already received.

Walk-through Survey--The walk-through survey will involve all or part of the building including inspection of the HVAC systems with special attention given to the mechanism by which outside air enters each HVAC unit. Architectural plans and ventilation test and balance reports may also be reviewed during this phase. Potential sources of emission are identified so that each may be further evaluated, as needed.

Personal Interview--Personal interviews are often conducted to determine the extent, prevalence and character of reported symptoms. The use of a questionnaire, such as the one shown in Appendix 1, may be the most efficient means of collecting this type of information. It can be used as a guide during personal interviews or it can be self-administered.

2024689118

Phase I Environmental Monitoring--Phase I environmental monitoring is usually conducted on each initial survey. The scope of this effort may vary, but usually will either evaluate certain aspects of the building environment, which we have come to believe are important factors in all investigations, or explore any other possibilities made apparent from the background assessment. Evaluation of the ventilation system using both actual measurement and/or carbon dioxide (CO₂) techniques, and monitoring temperature and relative humidity are useful procedures for all evaluations during the initial site assessment. Monitoring for formaldehyde is an example of a specific method which may be used if the background assessment indicates that respiratory system and eye irritation are prevalent complaints and the space has been recently built or renovated (a number of furnishings are potential sources of formaldehyde). Most of the monitoring accomplished on the initial survey is obtained using direct-reading instruments where possible because they provide results on-the-spot. Any deficiencies noted can be corrected and re-evaluated. Trace concentrations of hundreds of compounds could be identified depending on the extent of the sampling and analytical effort; however, the concentrations usually detected would not be expected to cause adverse health effects in a normal healthy individual. Other techniques which I will now discuss have been consistently more useful.

Evaluating HVAC Systems--HVAC systems can be complicated and most industrial hygienists have received very little or no training in the design, maintenance and trouble-shooting of building ventilation systems. The most important aspect of evaluating HVAC systems is to gain an understanding of how they are supposed to be working and then use some relatively simple methods to convince yourself that the system is performing up to the design specifications, and whether this is adequate with respect to the complaint areas in the building, return to the complaint area(s) and measure supply and return air flows using either a velometer or a flow hood and compare the results to the design quantities. Note that in variable-air-volume (VAV) systems the supply air flows may vary during the day.

A method which is gaining popularity, and which is currently used by NIOSH for evaluating the adequacy of ventilation to an area is the measurement of CO₂ concentrations. Humans expire significant quantities of CO₂. The higher the CO₂ levels inside a building, the poorer the overall ventilation, in a general sense. We believe that complaints will not be prevalent if interior CO₂ concentrations are maintained at twice or less the outdoor levels (usually 250-300 ppm). At CO₂ concentrations above 1000 ppm, or 3 to 4 times the outdoor level, complaints of headaches tiredness, eye, nose and throat irritation may be more prevalent. It is important to realize that it is not the CO₂ concentration that is causing the symptoms; but, if CO₂ increase, so may all the other normal airborne contaminants and it may be some combination of all these substances that make people uncomfortable. Carbon dioxide measurement can be obtained using standard detector tubes or portable CO₂ monitoring instruments. The use of CO₂ as an index of the general quality of indoor air is currently being evaluated by NIOSH as well as many other agencies.

2024689119

Poor mixing of air is another potential problem sometimes found when air is delivered and returned through ceiling diffusers. Standard smoke tubes, and temperature and CO₂ measurements at 1 foot and 7 foot heights above the floor may be useful techniques in evaluating stratification resulting from poor mixing.

Monitoring Temperature and Relative Humidity--Temperature too cold, too warm or fluctuating can be a source of complaints. While individuals vary in their limits of thermal comfortability, if a significant number of workers in an area complain (more than 20%), then temperature and relative humidity may be creating an uncomfortable environment. If temperatures are too warm, complaints of tiredness, lack of concentration and headache may also be reported. Low relative humidities, not uncommon in the winter in a building or residence that is not humidified, can cause eye, nose and throat irritation.

CLOSING CONFERENCE

The closing conference of the initial site survey provides an opportunity to present the NIOSH activities accomplished, any results obtained and recommendations on corrective actions if potential problems were identified. If no problems were identified, recommendations may be made on how to continue studying the problem either on a self-help basis or through continued NIOSH involvement. Typical recommendations when we have not identified a probable explanation for the reported symptoms would include the formulation of a more formalized method of reporting worker symptoms on a daily basis and the generation of HVAC data logs to provide evidence that the HVAC system is performing in a consistent manner over time.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Evaluation criteria used to interpret environmental measurements vary. In the classic industrial hygiene sense, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (OSHA) permissible limits [1], the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists' (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Values [2], and NIOSH's recommended exposure limits [3] are most commonly used in occupational exposure assessments. Because these criteria are based on health effects as they pertain to the manufacturing environment, they may not have the same relevance for workers in an office setting, whose primary concern may be for comfort or simply an absence of unusual sensory stimuli over their working period. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has ambient air quality standards [4] for a variety of pollutants designed to protect the public over an entire day (not just an 8-hour workday). However, these, too, may not have relevance to an indoor office environment, especially from the perspective of problem-solving.

The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers, Inc. (ASHRAE) guidelines for acceptable indoor air quality [5] have been developed for the indoor environment. We commonly use these as criteria in our office building evaluations, especially for assessing the performance of a ventilation system. We also use the ASHRAE comfort guidelines [6] as criteria for assessing the thermal performance of occupied space.

2024689120

ADDITIONAL SITE ASSESSMENTS

If the background assessment and the initial site visit identify a problem that need further definition, or if no problem can be isolated, an additional site assessment may be performed. During the additional site assessment, more specific and extensive monitoring may be conducted for chemical and/or microbiological contaminants of interest. Sampling for airborne microbiological contaminants is also outside of what is considered a standard industrial hygiene technique. Useful techniques are still under evaluation. Methodology is available; however, analytical support is somewhat limited. Nevertheless, the topic of potential microbiological contamination usually comes up as an investigation progresses. Close visual inspection of the various HVAC components will usually uncover a microbiological problem if present.

The condition most commonly associated with exposure to airborne organisms is hypersensitivity pneumonitis. This is a general term for a disease which occurs as a result of an immunologic inflammatory reaction to the inhalation of any of a variety of organic dusts. Terms like humidifier fever, ventilation pneumonitis, farmer's lung and cheese worker's lung are all the result of these exposures. Symptoms are usually described as a recurring "flu-like" syndrome. Diagnosis is based on a combination of characteristic symptoms, chest x-rays, pulmonary function abnormalities and sometimes immunologic studies [7].

Inspection of the HVAC system and confirmation of the diagnoses of hypersensitivity pneumonitis among workers may be more useful than air sampling for airborne microorganisms until investigative techniques are further refined.

DISCUSSION

Through December 1988, 529 NIOSH indoor air quality health hazard evaluations have been completed (Table I). These do not include our investigations of asbestos-in-building problems, but only those where the building occupants were actually experiencing ill health effects. The number of investigations has increased markedly since 1979. This is most probably due to a couple of factors: increased energy conservation measures and increased worker awareness of their office environment. We now average about two indoor air quality investigations per week.

2024689121

TABLE 1

NIOSH INDOOR AIR QUALITY INVESTIGATIONS
BY YEAR (THROUGH DECEMBER 1988)

<u>Year</u>	<u>Number Completed</u>	<u>%</u>
Pre-1978	6	1
1978	9	2
1979	12	3
1980	28	6
1981	82	18
1982	52	12
1983	61	14
1984	56	13
1985	81	18
1986	59	13
1987	38	8
1988	<u>45</u>	<u>9</u>
Total:	529	100

While the majority of our investigations have been conducted in government and private-sector office buildings (Table 2), we have also looked at problems in schools, colleges, and health care facilities.

TABLE 2

NIOSH INDOOR AIR QUALITY INVESTIGATIONS
BY BUILDING TYPE (THROUGH DECEMBER 1988)

<u>Building Type</u>	<u># Completed</u>	<u>%</u>
Government and		
Business Offices	426	80
Schools and Colleges	68	13
Health Care Facilities	<u>35</u>	<u>7</u>
Total:	529	100

Commonly, the symptoms and health complaints reported by the office workers are diverse and not specific enough to readily identify the causative agent (Table 3). The workplace environment is implicated by the fact that these symptoms normally disappear on weekends away from the office. At times, these symptoms can be severe enough to result in missed work, reassignment, and even termination. This causes increased anxiety among the workers and, often times, makes the investigation of these problems even more difficult and frustrating.

2024689122

TABLE 3

COMMON HEALTH COMPLAINTS

Eye Irritation	Shortness of Breath
Dry Throat	Cough
Headache	Dizziness
Fatigue	Nausea
Sinus Congestion	Sneezing
Skin Irritation	Nose Irritation

Although many of these problems may be multifactorial, we have classified our evaluations by primary type of problem found: contamination from the building material (4%); microbiological contamination (5%); contamination from outside the building (10%); contamination from inside the building (15%); inadequate ventilation (53%); and unknown (13%) (Table 4.) There are some shortcomings to these data, however, in that they may not represent a "true" cross-section of the indoor air quality problem as previously discussed. For example, we have not used a standard protocol for all these evaluations, as our methods and criteria have evolved with time and experience. Also, since many of these investigations were reviewed retrospectively, there may be some misclassification due to the vagueness of earlier reports. Lastly, we have little follow-up data on many of these evaluations to enable us to determine the efficacy of our recommendations[8].

TABLE 4

 NIOSH INDOOR AIR QUALITY INVESTIGATIONS
 BY PROBLEM TYPE (THROUGH DECEMBER 1988)

Problem Type	* Completed	†
Building Materials Contamination	21	4
Microbiological Contamination	27	5
Outside Contamination	53	10
Inside Contamination	80	15
Ventilation Inadequate	280	53
Unknown	68	13
Total:	529	100

BUILDING MATERIALS CONTAMINATION

Contamination from building materials and products was the major problem in 4% of our investigations. Formaldehyde can off-gas from urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, particle board, plywood, and some glues and adhesives commonly used during construction. Other building fabric contamination problems encountered included dermatitis resulting from fibrous glass, various organic solvents from glues and adhesives, and acetic acid used as a curing agent in silicone caulking.

2024689123

MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINATION

Five percent of our investigations have involved some type of microbiological contamination. Even though this is not a common cause of office problems, it can result in a potentially severe condition known as hypersensitivity pneumonitis. This respiratory problem can be caused by bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and microbial products that may originate from ventilation system components. A similar condition known as humidifier fever, most commonly reported in Europe, is also a result of microbiological contamination in ventilation systems. In our investigations, microbiological contamination has commonly resulted from water damage to carpets or furnishings, or standing water in ventilation system components.

OUTSIDE CONTAMINATION

Contamination from sources outside the office space was the major problem identified in 10% of our investigations. Problems due to motor vehicle exhaust, boiler gases, and previously exhausted air are essentially caused by re-entrainment. This is usually the result of improperly locked exhaust and intake vents or periodic changes in wind conditions. Other outside contamination problems include contaminants from construction or renovation such as asphalt, solvents, and dusts. Also, gasoline fumes infiltrating the basement and/or sewage system can sometimes be a problem and are usually caused by gasoline leaks from ruptured underground tanks at nearby service stations. One of the most common sources of outside contamination has been carbon monoxide fumes from basement parking garages being recirculated through the building ventilation system.

INSIDE CONTAMINATION

Contamination generated by sources inside the office space was the major problem identified in 15% of our investigations. Copying machines are often found to be a significant source. Examples of this type of problem would include methyl alcohol from spirit duplicators, butyl methacrylate from signature machine and ammonia and acetic acid from blueprint copiers. Still other inside contamination problems we have encountered include pesticides which were improperly applied; dermatitis from boiler additives such as diethyl ethanolamine; improperly diluted cleaning agents such as rug shampoo; tobacco smoke of all types; combustion gases from sources common to cafeterias and laboratories; and cross-contamination from poorly ventilated sources that leak into other air handling zones.

Contaminants from inside or outside the office space, and from the building fabric are essentially chemical contaminants. Many times odors are associated with some of these contaminants which may aid in source identification. Additionally, in most cases, these chemical contaminants were measured at levels above ambient but far below any existing occupational standard.

2024689124

INADEQUATE VENTILATION

In 53% of our investigations, the building ventilation has been inadequate. When evaluating building ventilation, we normally use ASHRAE standards for comparison. ASHRAE standards 62-1981, "Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality" (ASHRAE 1981) and 55-1981, "Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy" (ASHRAE 1981) are both used. Some of the ventilation problems we commonly encounter are: not enough outdoor air supplied to the office space; poor air distribution and mixing which causes stratification, draftiness, and pressure differences between office spaces; temperature and humidity extremes or fluctuations (sometimes caused by poor air distribution); and air filtration problems caused by improper or no maintenance to the building ventilation system. In many cases, these ventilation problems are created or enhanced by certain energy conservation measures. These include reducing or eliminating outdoor air; reducing infiltration and exfiltration; lowering thermostats in winter, raising them in summer; eliminating humidification or dehumidification systems; and early shut-down and late start-up of ventilation systems.

CONCLUSION

The major problems identified in these NIOSH indoor air quality investigations can be placed into three general categories listed with decreasing frequency: inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination, and microbiological contamination. Inadequate ventilation is the single largest problem we have seen in buildings. Although varied, these ventilation problems commonly can allow a build-up of any contaminants present in the occupied space to the point that adverse health effects are experienced or allow the environment to become annoyingly uncomfortable to the office workers. As our experience increased over time, we developed a solution-oriented approach to conducting these evaluations which places a high priority on building ventilation. This approach has resulted in the best allocation of our resources and has allowed more efficient use of in-field as well as analytical time.

Increasing office worker awareness and the current shift to office-based, service-type employment will no doubt increase concerns about the indoor air quality in offices and other non-industrial settings. More research into office building ventilation and its effect on background levels of contaminants will be necessary to provide additional and more appropriate guidelines for the evaluation and control of indoor air quality problems in the future.

Early recognition of a problem, with a timely and systematic evaluation, are key factors to a quick and effective resolution.

2024689125

REFERENCES

- [1] OSHA, OSHA Safety and Health Standards, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Washington, D.C., 1983.
- [2] ACGIH, Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents in the Workroom Environment and Biological Exposure Indices with Intended Changes for 1985-1986, Cincinnati: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 1985.
- [3] CDC, "NIOSH Recommendations for Occupational Safety and Health Standards," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Vol. 35, 1985, pp. 35-315.
- [4] EPA, National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards, Washington, D.C.: Environmental Protection Agency, 1971.
- [5] ASHRAE, ASHRAE Standard 62-1981, "Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality," Atlanta: American Society for Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 1981.
- [6] ASHRAE, ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-1981, "Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy," Atlanta: American Society for Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 1981.
- [7] Levy, M.B. and Fink, J.N., "Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis," Annals of Allergy, Vol. 54, 1985, pp. 167-171.
- [8] Mellius, J., Wallingford, K., Keenlyside, r., Carpenter, J., "Indoor Air Quality--the NIOSH Experience," Annals of the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Vol. 10, 1984, pp 3-7.

2024689126